

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/601,271	Applicant(s) LEY ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark W. Bockelman	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

(1) Mark W. Bockelman.

(2) Peter Maki.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

See last office action

Claims discussed:

11, 22

Prior art documents discussed:


See last office action

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed amendments to claims 11 and 22 which were accepted by the applicant. See the attached examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)